

DELIVERY ORDER PERFORMANCE EVENT REPORT (DO PER)

Contract No: 68-W7-0016

Reporting Office: Region 6

Delivery Order No: 0016-06- 630

Site Name: Castex Systems, Inc.

Delivery Order Ceiling: \$1,250,000 OPA

Contractor: CET Environmental Services, Inc.

Rating Period: 1/1/98 - 12/31/98

DO Period of Perf: 3/10/98-7/31/99

Response Manager: D. Burns

PEB3- 89

RATING: SUBCATEGORY

85

1. Site preparation (pre-planning, work plan, etc.)

Comments: RM continued with well-written and concise work plan

90

2. Site safety (adequacy of plan, compliance with plan)

Comments: RM continued with well written and user friendly site safety plan. All on site activities were addressed separately and adequately. Subcontractors activities were addressed separately, yet made a part of the overall safety plan. Daily safety meetings continued.

93

3. Site reports (timeliness, accuracy and completeness includes RCMS data, invoice documentation, 1900-55s)

Comments: CET PAS continues to do an excellent job.

90

4. Response Manager coordination with the OSC.

Comments: CET RM is fully cognizant of OSC plans and desires. RM provided OSC with daily updates on site activities and future plans.

90

5. Response Manager oversight of cleanup team and subcontractors (includes effective and appropriate use of equipment and subcontractors)

Comments: RM continued to effectively manage crew. Coordination with NORM subcontractors was of particular note.

90

6. Technical quality of work (includes usability, QA/QC of data)

Comments: Overall technical aspects of project were very high. Of particular note were the aspects of handling the NORM situation and the waste minimization plans put forward as well as plans for onsite capping versus offsite disposal at a considerable reduction in cost.

90

7. Overall cost effectiveness

Comments: CET continued to provide very effective work performance while maintaining minimal costs.

88

8. Contract Management (upper management and support)

Comments: CET continued to provide effective support to the RM and PAS in the field.

90068552



93

9. **Compliance with contract terms (competition, bid documentation, OSC/CO consent, small business, small disadvantaged business utilization, etc.)**

Comments: Both the RM and PAS did an excellent job of providing the OSC with complete bid packages.

90

10. **Transportation and disposal (T&D) (Innovation, timeliness, cost effectiveness)**

Comments: CET provided effective and timely T&D for the NORM sludge, the NORM contaminated scrap, and contaminated oil/water/sludge mixtures. These waste streams were bid as packages, resulting in substantial savings versus separate transportation and disposal pricing.

90

Overall Site Management Score (not an average)

Narrative to Summarize: As of the end of the year CET has done a very effective removal action at the Castex Systems Site. The site has been temporarily shut down due to funding constraints and a change in job scope, but CET consistently provided the OSC with a well-trained, capable crew and has provided effective leadership at all levels.

Robert M. Ryan, P.E.

Signature of OSC

06 January, 1999

Date

0016-06-630 CASTEX SYSTEMS
Reporting Period Value: \$590,548.03
Rating: 90

CET remobilized equipment and personnel to the site to continue site activities. Activities resumed under the Site Work Plan and a Site Safety Plan, which was prepared, reviewed and approved by the OSC and the Coast Guard prior to the original mobilization.

Daily safety meetings were held to keep site personnel aware of recurring and task specific safety issues. Site safety was stressed to CET personnel, and safety meetings included instructions that each individual had the right (and obligation) to stop work if potential unsafe conditions were identified during the work.

Completed RCMS reports were produced daily and reviewed by the RM prior to submittal to the OSC for review and signature.

The OSC was kept abreast of site activities through continual daily discussions and physical review of the work progress.

Site personnel, equipment and materials were optimized by adjusting work activities around task specific equipment requirements necessary to efficiently perform the project tasks. Coordination of the varied tasks allowed the work to be performed in a timely and cost effective manner.

The work was performed well under difficult (wet) conditions which required special handling techniques in order to prepare and stage NOW contaminated soil for subsequent disposal/treatment.

Overall cost effectiveness was enhanced by CETs exceptional handling of the ~~Wet~~ NOW wastes, thus allowing continued activities.

Upper management, support, and T&D personnel activities allowed the on-site personnel to perform the tasks required without hindrance or delay.

Contract requirements were performed in compliance with the contract terms due to the timely assistance of upper management and support personnel.

During this remobilization, no wastes were taken to off-site disposal.